Pandemic Influenza Guidance Supplement to the 2006 Public Health Emergency Preparedness Cooperative Agreement Phase II

Date: July 10, 2006

Attachment A

Performance Measures for FY 2006 Pandemic Influenza Emergency Supplemental Funding

Measure 1: Medical Surge

Target Capability: Medical Surge. Use BioSense data to determine available beds that could be used for medical surge.

| CDC Preparedness | Proposed Measure | Jurisdictional Target | Definitions and Other | Instructions | Jurisdictional | Data Collection and |
|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| Goal | | | Guidance | | Measurement Level | Submission Methods |
| CDC Goal 6: | Percent of HRSA | 90% of HRSA | Definitions: | Numerator: | State | Numerator data: |
| Control | National | NBHPP awardee | Hospital utilization data: | Number of HRSA | | DSLR retrieves data |
| | Bioterrorism | hospitals. | BioSense Data Elements | NBHPP awardee | | from CDC BioSense databases |
| 6A: Target | Hospital | | of Interest: | hospitals that | | to determine which |
| Capability: Medical | Preparedness | | Includes number of beds | transmit hospital | | jurisdiction hospitals transmit |
| Surge | Program (NBHPP) | | available by facility unit. | utilization data to | | to BioSense |
| | awardee hospitals | | | BioSense. | | |
| | that transmit | | Near real-time: bed-count | | | Denominator data: |
| | hospital utilization | | data is submitted at least | Denominator: | | Pandemic Supplemental |
| | data in near-real | | once every 24 hours. | Number of HRSA | | funding awardees declare to |
| | time to BioSense. | | | NBHPP awardee | | DSLR which hospitals in their |
| | | | | hospitals in the | | jurisdiction are NBHPP |
| | | | | jurisdiction. | | awardees. |

[•] Department of Homeland Security: Target Capabilities. Version 2.0 available at emergency responder password protected website: www.llis.gov

[•] Justification: CNA Corporation. Medical Surge Capacity and Capability: A Management System for Integrating Medical and Health Resources during Large-Scale Emergencies. Virginia, CNA. Under U. S. Department of Health and Human Services Contract # 233-03-0028. 2004. Page 8-6. Available at: http://www.cna.org/documents/mscc_aug2004.pdf Accessed June 9, 2006.

Measure 2: Seasonal Flu Clinic

Target Capability: Mass Prophylaxis. Use computer modeling to estimate patient throughput and compare to actual throughput during annual influenza vaccination clinic ("flu clinic").

| CDC Preparedness | Proposed Measure | Jurisdictional Target | Definitions and Other | Instructions | Jurisdictional | Data Collection and |
|------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| - | r roposeu ivieasure | Juristictional rarget | Guidance | msu ucuons | | Submission Methods |
| Goal | D | T 1 116 | | 3 7 4 11 C | Measurement Level | |
| CDC Goal 6: | Percent of | For each work shift: | Specified computer | Numerator: # of | State and local | Data will be collected during |
| Control | estimated patient | Meet or exceed | model: Use mass | persons vaccinated | | real event seasonal flu clinics. |
| | throughput actually | estimated patient | vaccination clinic | during each shift. | | |
| 6C: Target | achieved for each | throughput for inputs | operations model available | | | |
| Capability: Mass | shift during mass | entered into specified | at URL cited below. | Denominator: # of | | Clinic staff inputs will vary |
| Prophylaxis | vaccination clinic. | computer model. | | persons expected to | | from shift to shift depending |
| | | | Shift: To generate model | be vaccinated during | | on the number of staff |
| | | | estimates the number of | a shift, according to | | available to work the shift. |
| | | | staff working per shift is | model. | | As inputs vary, estimates |
| | | | assumed constant | | | generated by the model will |
| | | | throughout the shift. The | | | differ. |
| | | | duration of each shift is at | | | |
| | | | the discretion of the public | | | Note: |
| | | | health agency (e.g., 8 | | | # observed vaccinated/# |
| | | | hours, 12 hours, etc). | | | expected to be vaccinated. |
| | | | Toursto automado | | | Enomale |
| | | | <u>Inputs entered</u> : Parameters entered into | | | Example: |
| | | | | | | Shift #1: |
| | | | the model to estimate | | | # observed vaccinated is 2 |
| | | | throughput. For example: | | | patients per minute |
| | | | 200 clinic and | | | # expected vaccinated per the |
| | | | administrative staff | | | model is 4 patients per |
| | | | working in a flu clinic | | | minute. |
| | | | configured per the model | | | O/E = 2/4 = 1/2 |
| | | | are expected to vaccinate 4 | | | |
| | | | patients per minute. | | | To get percent: ½ X 100 = |
| | | | | | | 50% of estimate achieved |

[•] Throughput estimates: Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Target Capabilities require capacity (throughput) estimates for determining burden of work to manage standardized planning scenarios.

[•] Specified mass vaccinations clinic operations model: Model is funded by CDC and developed jointly by Montgomery County, Maryland, Advanced Practice Center for Public Health Emergency Preparedness and Response and The Computer Integrated Manufacturing Laboratory of the Institute for Systems Research at the University of Maryland. Model available at http://www.isr.umd.edu/Labs/CIM/projects/clinic/ Accessed June 11, 2006.

Measure 3: Seasonal Flu Clinic.

Target Capability: Mass Prophylaxis. AGE AND RISK GROUPS – INFLUENZA VACCINATION. Exceed the influenza vaccination target coverage levels.

| CDC Preparedness Goal | Proposed Measure | Jurisdictional Target | Definitions and Other Guidance | Instructions | Jurisdictional Measurement Level | Data Collection and Submission Methods |
|---|---|---|--|--------------------|--|---|
| CDC Goal 6: Control | Influenza vaccination coverage levels for | Better than the best: Jurisdiction exceeds the highest coverage | "Better than the best" is borrowed from HP 2010, which employs the | | | DSLR retrieves data from CDC databases |
| 6C: Target Capability: Mass Prophylaxis | each age and risk group. | level reported in the most recently published dataset. | concept as a target-setting method. | | | Awardees' progress toward targets is assessed using the latest survey vaccination rates |
| | | | Target source: | Target tracked by: | | available for the DSLR reporting period. Publication of survey results can lag behind current reporting period a year or more. |
| | • $>$ or = 65 yr | 90% | HP 2010 | BRFSS | State | BRFSS does not ask about |
| | | | | | | influenza vaccination for Health-care workers with patient contact every year. If this group is not queried in a particular year, the rate will be "N/A" for that year. |
| | • 18-64 yr with high-risk conditions | 60% | HP 2010 | BRFSS | State | |
| | Health-care workers with patient contact | Better than the best | BRFSS (In vaccine shortage season: Years 2004 – 05, the national level estimate was 36%) | BRFSS | State | |
| | • 18-64 yr – Non-priority group | Better than the best | BRFSS (In non-shortage season: Years 2003-04, the national estimate was 24%) | BRFSS | State | |
| | • 6-23 months | Better than the best | NIS | NIS | State and Local | |

[•] MMWR: Estimated Influenza Vaccination Coverage Among Adults and Children – United States, September 1, 2004—January 31, 2005. MMWR. April 1, 2005 54 (12); 304-307. Available at: <a href="http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mwr/preview/m

[•] MMWR: Prevention and Control of Influenza. Recommendations of the Advisory committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). June 28, 2006/55 (Early Release); 1-41. Available at: <a href="http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mwr/preview/mw

- Influenza Vaccination Coverage Levels. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/flu/professionals/vaccination/coveragelevels.htm Accessed July 3, 2006.
- BRFSS is Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/BRFSS/ Accessed July, 3 2006.
- NIS is National Immunization Survey. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/nis/ Accessed July 3, 2006.
- HP 2010 is Healthy People 2010. Target setting methods available at: http://www.healthypeople.gov/Document/HTML/tracking/THP_PartA.htm#TargetSetting Immunization coverage levels available at: http://www.healthypeople.gov/Document/HTML/Volume1/14Immunization.htm# Toc494510240 Accessed July 3, 2006.

Measure 4: Non-Pharmacological Public Health Interventions: Social Distancing.

Target Capability: Planning

| CDC Preparedness Goal | Proposed Measure | Jurisdictional Target | Definitions and Other Guidance | Instructions | Jurisdictional Measurement Level | Data Collection and Submission Methods |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|--------------|--|---|
| | Public health officials | Jurisdictions pre- determine case count | Jurisdictions are expected to be hyper-vigilant about | | State and local | Drill or exercise |
| | recommend school | levels that "trigger" | the introduction of | | | |
| | closure when | school closure. | pandemic influenza in | | | |
| | pandemic influenza | | their communities. Pre- | | | |
| C | case counts reach ore-determined | | event, jurisdictions determine how many | | | |
| - | evels. | | cases infected with H5, or | | | |
| | | | another novel subtype, of | | | |
| | | | influenza will prompt | | | |
| | | | them to recommend school closure. | | | |
| | | | school closule. | | | |
| | | | Pandemic influenza cases: | | | |
| | | | When disease prevalence | | | |
| | | | is low, laboratory testing should be confirmatory | | | |
| | | | (as opposed to | | | |
| | | | presumptive). | | | |

[•] School District (K-12) Pandemic Influenza Planning Checklist. Available at: http://www.pandemicflu.gov/plan/schoolchecklist.html Accessed June 18, 2006.

[•] Heymann A, Chodick G, Reichman B, Kokia, E, Laufer J, *Influence of school closure on the incidence of viral respiratory diseases among children and on health care utilization.* Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2004 Jul; 23 (7): 675-7.

[•] HHS Pandemic Influenza Plan, US Dept of Health and Human Services. November 2005. Available at: www.panflu.gov Accessed June 21, 2006.

Measure 5: Isolation

Target Capability: Isolation and Quarantine. During drills and exercises make timely decisions to seek court order for isolation or release detained patient.

| | | | and exercises make timery | | | • |
|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|-----------------|--|
| CDC Preparedness | Proposed Measure | Jurisdictional Target | Definitions and Other | Instructions | Jurisdictional | Data Collection and |
| Goal | | | Guidance | | Measurement | Submission Methods |
| | | | | | Level | |
| CDC Goal 6: Control 6B: Target Capability: Isolation and Quarantine | Time an individual (s) is detained for medical evaluation while determining need for isolation. | < 12 hours | Detain: Restrict movement by preventing individual from leaving the designated area while he/she is medically evaluated. This measure includes time to obtain presumptive laboratory results. This measure does not include time to get a judge or magistrate to sign the order, which can take considerably longer. This measure also does not include evaluations for quarantine. | Date and Start time: Date and time public health authority first detains individual(s). Date and Stop time: Any one of the following: Date and time on petition for court order. Date and time patient is placed under voluntary isolation. Date and time patient is released after deemed not in need of isolation. | State and local | Data collected for each individual detained for evaluation. In the case of mass isolation data is collected for the cohort. |

Measure 6: PHIN Compliance

Target Capability: Communications. PHIN compliance enables information technology systems to support detection and containment of pandemic influenza

across all Target Capabilities.

| CDC Preparedness Goal | Proposed Measure | Jurisdictional Target | Definitions and Other Guidance | Instructions | Jurisdictional Measurement Level | Data Collection and Submission Methods |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| CDC Goal 4: Detect and Report 4A: Target Capability: Communications | For each PHIN Functional Area, the percent of critical functional requirements that have been achieved based on either the Functional Self Assessment Tool or the PHIN certification process. The Functional Areas are: • Connecting Laboratory Systems • Countermeasu re/Response Administration • Cross- functional Components • Early Event Detection • Outbreak Management • Partner Communicatio ns and Alerting Functional Requirements | 100% of the critical functional requirements for each Functional Area | Note: For each Functional Area cite the assessment method used to determine achievement: Self Assessment (Self- Assessment Tool) OR (Independent Assessment) PHIN Certification process. | Example: 1) Connecting Laboratory Systems has 10 critical functional requirements. The recipient using the Functional Self Assessment tool has achieved 7 of the critical functional requirements. The Connecting Laboratory Systems score is 7/10 or 70% by Functional Self Assessment Tool. 2) Countermeasure/Respons e Administration has 5 critical functional requirements. The recipient has achieved all 5 critical functional requirements based on the PHIN Certification process. The Countermeasure/Respons e Administration score is 5/5 or 100% by PHIN Certification process. | State and local | Recipient's plan for addressing identified gaps AND either the PHIN Certification Status Letter for each Functional Area or the Summary page from the Functional Self Assessment Tool for each Functional Area |

^{• &}lt;u>Public Heath Information Network (PHIN) Certification:</u> Standards, Self-Assessment Tools and Certification process available at: http://www.cdc.gov/phin/certification/index.html Accessed November 16, 2000